The Open Neurology Journal, 2018, 12, 31-40



Content list available at: www.benthamopen.com/TONEUJ/

DOI: 10.2174/1874205X01812010031

REVIEW ARTICLE

The Rationale for Monitoring Cognitive Function in Multiple **Sclerosis: Practical Issues for Clinicians**

Christos Bakirtzis¹, Panagiotis Ioannidis¹, Lambros Messinis^{2,*}, Grigorios Nasios³, Elina Konstantinopoulou⁴, Panagiotis Papathanasopoulos⁵ and Nikolaos Grigoriadis¹

¹The Multiple Sclerosis Center, 2nd Department of Neurology, AHEPA University Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

²Department of Neurology, Neuropsychology Section, University of Patras Medical School, Patras, Greece

³Department of Speech and Language Therapy, Higher Educational Institute of Epirus, Ioannina, Greece

⁴Lab of Cognitive Neuroscience, School of Psychology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece ³University of Patras Medical School, Patras, Greece

Received: March 03, 2018

Revised: April 14, 2018

Accepted: May 07, 2018

Abstract: About half of patients with multiple sclerosis exhibit cognitive impairment which negatively affects their quality of life. The assessment of cognitive function in routine clinical practice is still undervalued, although various tools have been proposed for this reason. In this article, we describe the potential benefits of implementing cognitive assessment tools in routine follow -ups of MS patients. Early detection of changes in cognitive performance may provide evidence of disease activity, could unmask depression or medication side-effects and provide suitable candidates for cognitive rehabilitation. Since apathy and cognitive deficiencies are common presenting symptoms in Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy, we discuss the utility of frequent monitoring of mental status in multiple sclerosis patients at increased risk. In addition, we propose a relevant algorithm aiming to incorporate a systematic evaluation of cognitive function in every day clinical practice in multiple sclerosis.

Keywords: Multiple sclerosis, Cognition, Clinical practice, Monitoring, Depression, Fatigue.

1. INTRODUCTION

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic demyelinating disease of the central nervous system. The relapsing -remitting form of the disease (RRMS) accounts for most of the cases, while the rest is characterized by progressive disability [1]. Disease symptoms in RRMS are mainly attributed to autoimmune inflammatory processes. However, it is now widely accepted that neurodegeneration occurs even in the early stages of MS and predominates in the progressive forms of the disease [2]. Beyond physical disability, there is increasing evidence that due to the same pathological processes, cognition is also affected and further contributes to the overall disability of the patient. Indeed, cognitive dysfunction can be detected in about half of MS patients and interferes with daily living activities [3].

In organized MS centers, cognitive evaluation is often performed by a neuropsychologist. In the setting of a private practice, on the other hand, cognitive assessment may be skipped due to limited time for consultancy. However, the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) underlines the fact that clinicians are often unable to detect cognitive deficits in everyday clinical practice [4] and emphasizes the importance of cognitive assessment as part of the everyday clinical examination - something that neurologists tend to forget or underestimate.

The Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) is widely used in order to assess the neurological symptoms of an MS patient. In this scale, a sub score of cognitive function is included. The scoring depends on the clinician's perception of

31

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Neurology, Neuropsychology Section, University of Patras Medical School, Patras, Greece; Tel: ++302613603348; E-mail: lmessinis@upatras.gr

cognitive functions of the patient. Also, according to the scoring manual, patients' or caregivers' opinion is also accounted while for precise scoring, a brief cognitive evaluation should be performed. A recent study has demonstrated that in a significant proportion of patients, the score of cognitive function in EDSS and subsequently the total EDDS score changes, after examination with a brief cognitive assessment battery [5]. Therefore, the use of assessment tools for cognitive function, could provide a more accurate evaluation of disease severity.

Cognitive deficits interfere with the ability to work, socialize and have a negative impact on quality of life in MS [6]. Memory deficits in MS are among the main causes of poor adherence to treatment [7, 8]. Hence, identifying them in the frame of neurological examination could be as useful as identifying other neurological symptoms for the holistic treatment of the patient.

2. WHAT TO MEASURE AND WHY?

Information processing speed (IPS), memory and to a lesser extent, executive function are the cognitive domains mainly affected in MS [6]. Although this pattern is frequently described, recent data suggest that cognitive dysfunction in MS might present in a more heterogeneous pattern amongst MS patients [9].

Cognitive impairment is often mild but may be profound at the late stages of the disease [10]; however, a number of patients may exhibit predominant cognitive deficits, despite minimal physical disability [11]. Persistent and progressive decline of cognitive performance is attributed to neurodegenerative processes of the disease such as diffuse axonal damage and brain atrophy [12]. In particular, deficits in IPS are mainly due to a «disconnection syndrome»; [13, 14] demyelinated axons are unable to transmit signals with high speed and neuronal degeneration leads to synaptic and dendritic loss, aggravating the deficit. Subsequently, MS patients face difficulties in everyday activities, particularly when the relevant involved tasks demand a heavy load of cognitive effort [15]. In this way, attention deficits are more obvious when multiple tasks are performed simultaneously, since patients get easily distracted [16]. Memory disturbances might be attributed to delayed retrieval secondary to the mechanisms described above [17], or to the damage of specific areas involved in memory storage [18].

Cognitive dysfunction in MS tends to progress during the disease course [19], but cognitive deterioration may also occur during relapses [20, 21]. According to experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) studies, this dysfunction may be attributed to the effect of cytokines released by inflammatory cells and activated microglia to neuron function [22]. The deterioration is often reversible during the recovery of the relapse [23]. Therefore, assessing cognitive function during a relapse could provide more information about the severity of the relapse and potentially alter the physician's treatment plan. Interestingly enough, isolated cognitive relapses have been reported in the recent literature [24]; disease activity may be expressed with impairment of cognitive function without other new findings in routine clinical examination.

In addition, cognitive dysfunction may be profound during progressive multifocal encephalopathy (PML), an uncommon potentially fatal viral disease that might occur during treatment with some Disease Modifying Drugs (DMDs) [25]. Indeed, PML lesions may initially present in the frontal lobes, affecting cognition, mood and behavior of the patient, while other neurological symptoms may develop later [26, 27]. Importantly enough, cognitive evaluation in patients treated with DMDs with the potential incidence of PML may contribute to an earlier and safer identification of "subclinical" PML together with the use of MRI. Such a potential use of cognitive function is worth being studied since PML-related MRI findings may be miss-interpreted early enough to diagnose PML [28].

Mood disorders are commonly reported in MS and may contribute to the cognitive performance of MS patients. Mood swings are frequently observed, while depression seems to be a core feature of the disease [29]. Several studies indicate that depression in MS could be attributed to immune-mediated mechanisms together with dysfunction in the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis [30]. Suicidal rates are higher among MS patients [31]. A proportion of patients might present euphoric and inappropriate effect, exhibiting euphoria sclerotica [32]. Moreover, fatigue is a common symptom of the disease and has a physical and cognitive aspect. Both mood and fatigue can be confounding factors to patient's cognitive performance, therefore they should also be assessed during a cognitive examination [33]. Diagnosis and treatment of mood disorders could be of benefit to the patient's ability to cope with everyday life activities.

Benign MS accounts for 10-20% of cases depending on the study and definitions. A study of 163 patients with benign MS found that many of these patients presented cognitive impairment, depression and fatigue, questioning the term "benign" MS [34]. These findings also suggest that with a more detailed clinical evaluation, hidden symptoms of MS may be unmasked. Cognitive assessment in everyday clinical practice could provide insight into the course of the

Monitoring Cognitive Function Practical Issues

disease. Patients with clinically isolated syndrome, presenting cognitive decline are more likely to convert to clinically definite multiple sclerosis [35]. Cognitive deficits in the early stages of the disease are predictors of a severe course of the disease [36]. In addition, there is an increasing number of uncommon MS phenotypes reported [37, 38], with predominant cognitive dysfunction as a presenting symptom. Therefore, a detailed evaluation of cognitive status of an MS patient could provide more insight to the disease burden, something that is still under-recognized.

3. HOW TO MEASURE?

A wide number of tools have been designed specifically for cognitive dysfunction in MS. Rao's Brief Repeatable Battery (BRB) [39] and Minimal Assessment of Cognitive Function in Multiple Sclerosis (MACFIMS) [40] are the two most widely used batteries for the detection of cognitive impairment in MS. However, the administration of these batteries requires trained personnel and adequate amount of time [41]; therefore, their use in everyday clinical practice is limited. The Brief International Cognitive Assessment for Multiple Sclerosis (BICAMS) has been proposed as an easy, fast monitoring tool for use in everyday clinical practice [42]. This battery consists of three tests that examine different aspects of working memory and IPS. BICAMS requires no additional equipment and can be used as a bedside quick screening tool in clinical settings where neuropsychologists are not available. However, for a complete neuropsychological examination, patients should still undergo more comprehensive batteries [43].

Deficit in IPS is the most common finding in MS patients [44, 45] and is often undetected [46], therefore, at least the SDMT [47] should be performed in routine clinical examinations [41]. The test takes no more than five minutes to perform and can be repeated in reasonable time, since many equivalent alternate forms have been developed, thus minimizing practice effects [48]. In case of severe visual impairment, the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT) [49] could be administered instead. PASAT's practice effects limit its use in longitudinal monitoring [50]. Current research provides evidence that besides IPS, working memory might influence the performance of an individual in both these tests [51, 52].

Importantly enough, mood and fatigue should also be assessed as both factors are confounders of the cognitive performance of an MS patient [53]. As for mood, there are a number of self-administered questionnaires such as The Beck Depression Inventory Second Edition (BDI-II) [54], Beck Depression Inventory-Fast Screen (BDI-FS) [55], Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [56] amongst others. On the other hand, the tools most widely used to measure fatigue, mainly in clinical studies, are the Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS) and the Modified Fatigue Impact Scale (MFIS) [57]. All the above might be subject to an individual's self-perception of mood or fatigue, while MS symptoms may be confounders of the performance [58]. Hence, in routine daily practice, depression and fatigue should also be assessed as per usual clinical care. Similarly, self-reported questionnaires about cognition in MS, might be useful in clinical studies but may not provide enough information about the cognitive domains affected to the examiner [59]. For example, the patient reported Multiple Sclerosis Neuropsychological Questionnaire (MSNQ-P) score may correlate with depression and anxiety but not with scores of cognitive tests [60, 61]. However, the informant version of the MSNQ (MSNQ-I) seems to describe more accurately the cognitive profile of an MS patient [62], thus indicating that it could be used as an alternative method for the preliminary evaluation of cognitive function in MS patients.

In the era of informatics, the development of computerized, fully automated cognitive tests, free from inter-rater variability, could provide even easier and more accurate measurements of cognitive function [63]. Tests like the Computerized Speed Cognitive Test (CSCT) [64], Global Assessment Battery [65] amongst others, have proven to be a reliable alternative to standard paper and pencil tests, however, they have not yet been widely adopted. Due to the increasing number of computerized cognitive batteries in development both the American Academy of Clinical Neuropsychology and the National Academy of Neuropsychology have released a position paper regarding the basic requirements needed to be fulfilled in order to produce an accurate and validated computerized cognitive battery [66].

Preservation of cognitive function is also depended on intellectual enrichment such as educational achievement and the lifestyle of each individual [67]. Therefore, cognitive reserve should also be taken into account, since an individual with a high education status and continuous cognitive exercise may cope with cognitive deficits even when there is a significant reduction of brain volume [68, 69]. Tools such as the Cognitive Reserve Index (CRIq) [70] for quantification of cognitive reserve could, therefore, be used.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) markers have been proposed as an alternative to cognitive dysfunction measurements. Studies support that the rate of annual brain atrophy and the number of cortical lesions, can be predictors of deterioration of cognitive functions [71], while only a modest correlation has been found between T2 lesion load and

cognitive impairment [72]. However, many imaging techniques are not standardized and brain atrophy measures still lack the accuracy needed for individual assessment in routine clinical practice [73]. The implementation of newer techniques such as Double Inversion Recovery, has allowed the imaging of cortical lesions, but compared to histopathological studies, not all of them are detected [74]. However, focusing on deep gray matter structures [75, 76] and using advanced structural and functional neuroimaging techniques might provide more insight regarding the anatomical routes of cognitive impairment in MS [77, 78]. Moreover, thalamic functional and structural changes have been extensively studied and were found to strongly correlate with cognitive impairment [76, 79, 80].

4. WHEN TO MEASURE AND HOW TO DEAL WITH FINDINGS?

The time interval between the cognitive assessment of a stable MS patient is under debate [81]. Both the British National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) [82] and AAN [4] suggest at least an annual routine assessment for these patients, but considering the cost, a fast screening by the treating physician could provide an alternative to patients who cannot afford a full neuropsychological evaluation. More frequent assessment should be reserved for patients with high PML risk or high disease activity, keeping in mind that practice effects may be augmented by frequent testing. An individualized approach considering the risk of cognitive deterioration, type of treatment, comorbidities and disease activity, should be tailored to each MS patient. Regarding relapses, as mentioned above, the cognitive evaluation could provide additional findings to support the neurological examination, as long as a previous cognitive status has been documented. Only by keeping archives of the cognitive examinations, can a physician detect cognitive deterioration regarding a previous state, something that patients sometimes report, but physicians find hard to evaluate [83].

Although no symptomatic treatment has provided adequate evidence for the treatment of cognitive dysfunction up to this point in time [84], preliminary data suggest a potential positive impact of fampridine in cognition and fatigue [85, 86]. Furthermore, research regarding non pharmaceutical cognitive rehabilitation interventions has provided indications that MS patients may benefit cognitive [87]. Questions about the required frequency of cognitive rehabilitation and the durability of the positive cognitive effects, however, remains to be answered by future studies. Functional MRI studies have also provided evidence for the efficacy of cognitive rehabilitation interventions, indicating that the activation of alternative cognitive pathways could compensate in part, for the cognitive deficits [88]. Adaptive neuronal plasticity occurs early in the disease course [89], therefore, monitoring the cognitive status of MS patients, might enable the early detection of cognitive impairment, providing suitable candidates for cognitive rehabilitation interventions.

Aerobic exercise may also ameliorate cognition, fatigue and mood of MS patients [90]. Clinicians should also advise patients to implement continuous intellectual enrichment [91] and avoid medications such as benzodiazepines that are known to negatively impact cognitive functions. But above all, treatment with DMDs that silence disease activity and slow the degeneration processes may be the main way to confront cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis, at least based on evidence to this time point.

Taking into consideration that the use of the BICAMS is both time efficient and cost-effective for a screening evaluation of cognitive function in MS [41], it may be easily incorporated in everyday clinical practice. Most importantly, the identification of cognitive dysfunction may further unmask a number of potential factors, such as disease activity, fatigue, mood disturbances, drug interactions and side effects, which otherwise might have been disregarded. We therefore believe that it would be worth proposing an algorithm for clinician use as presented in Fig. (1), with tools that have been widely applied in MS studies and proven to have ecological validity, acknowledging the fact that new versions of tests or computer-based self-administered versions [92] might alter the near future routine cognitive testing of MS patients. The feasibility and cost-effectiveness of the proposed algorithm in every day clinical practice remains to be identified in prospective clinical studies.

Having mentioned all the above, we must acknowledge, that several issues still need to be resolved regarding the implementation of cognitive assessment in daily clinical practice. For example, there are no studies to support that the cognitive tests described are sensitive to PML. Cognitive reserve is built by experiences obtained not only by education but also by leisure activities [93], something difficult to quantify. Besides age and education, cognitive performance on specific tests might also be influenced by nationality [94], hence, development of universal norms for each test might be challenging. In addition, cognitive assessment performed in a 'sterilized' way, might not reflect cognitive issues of patients since they might perform well in tests highly specific to a single cognitive domain, but fail in multitasking real conditions [95]. Studies have shown that walking or other motor tasks might interfere with cognitive function in MS patients [96, 97], therefore dual-tasking measures may better reflect actual difficulties of MS patients [98].



Fig. (1). Proposed algorithm for the management of cognitive function in multiple sclerosis. (BICAMS: Brief International Cognitive Assessment for Multiple Sclerosis, MSNQ-I: Multiple Sclerosis Neuropsychological Questionnaire Informant version, SDMT: Symbol Digit Modalities Test, PASAT: Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test, MFIS: Modified Fatigue Impact Scale, FSS: Fatigue Severity Scale, BDI-FS: Beck Depression Inventory-Fast Screen, HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

CONCLUSION

Cognitive dysfunction is common in multiple sclerosis and should be examined in routine clinical practice alongside with mood and fatigue. A detailed cognitive examination might reveal 'hidden' symptoms of the disease that may potentially alter treatment plans. Therefore, measures of cognitive function should be part of the routine neurological examination in MS patients. Guidelines on how to deal with cognitive deterioration in MS have not yet been produced and DMDs used in MS have only shown a minimal effect on cognitive function. But, to rephrase Lord Kelvin *«if you can't measure it, you cannot improve it»* hence we could at least implement the cognitive examination in clinical practice.

DISCLOSURES

All authors have nothing to disclose related to the submitted paper.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Decleared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lublin F, Reingold S, Cohen J, et al. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2014; 15; 83(3): 278–286
- Dutta R, Trapp BD. Mechanisms of neuronal dysfunction and degeneration in multiple sclerosis. Prog Neurobiol 2011; 93(1): 1-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2010.09.005] [PMID: 20946934]

36 The Open Neurology Journal, 2018, Volume 12

- [3] Jongen PJ, Ter Horst AT, Brands AM. Cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. Minerva Med 2012; 103(2): 73-96.
 [PMID: 22513513]
- [4] Rae-Grant A, Bennett A, Sanders AE, Phipps M, Cheng E, Bever C. Quality improvement in neurology: Multiple sclerosis quality measures: Executive summary. Neurology 2015; 85(21): 1904-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000001965] [PMID: 26333795]
- [5] Saccà F, Costabile T, Carotenuto A, *et al.* The EDSS integration with the Brief International Cognitive Assessment for Multiple Sclerosis and orientation tests. Mult Scler 2017; 23(9): 1289-96.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458516677592] [PMID: 27811338]
- [6] Langdon DW. Cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis recent advances and future prospects. Eur Neurol Rev 2010; 5: 69-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.17925/ENR.2010.05.01.69]
- Bruce JM, Hancock LM, Arnett P, Lynch S. Treatment adherence in multiple sclerosis: association with emotional status, personality, and cognition. J Behav Med 2010; 33(3): 219-27.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10865-010-9247-y] [PMID: 20127401]
- [8] Devonshire V, Lapierre Y, Macdonell R, et al. The Global Adherence Project (GAP): a multicenter observational study on adherence to disease-modifying therapies in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. Eur J Neurol 2011; 18(1): 69-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03110.x] [PMID: 20561039]
- [9] Matias-Guiu JA, Cortés-Martínez A, Valles-Salgado M, *et al.* Functional Components of Cognitive Impairment in Multiple Sclerosis: A Cross-Sectional Investigation. Front Neurol 2017; 8: 643.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00643] [PMID: 29234305]
- [10] Amato MP, Langdon D, Montalban X, *et al.* Treatment of cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis: position paper. J Neurol 2013; 260(6): 1452-68.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00415-012-6678-0] [PMID: 23180174]
- [11] Staff NP, Lucchinetti CF, Keegan BM. Multiple sclerosis with predominant, severe cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol 2009; 66(9): 1139-43. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2009.190] [PMID: 19752304]
- [12] Costa BK, Sato DK. Time to target brain atrophy and neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2016; 74(3): 181-2. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0004-282X20160028] [PMID: 27050844]
- [13] Dineen RA, Vilisaar J, Hlinka J, *et al.* Disconnection as a mechanism for cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis. Brain 2009; 132(Pt 1): 239-49.
 [http://dx.dxi.cog/10.1002/http://2751100400.180520551]

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/brain/awn275] [PMID: 18953055]

[14] Calabrese P, Penner IK. Cognitive dysfunctions in multiple sclerosis--a "multiple disconnection syndrome"? J Neurol 2007; 254(Suppl. 2): II18-21.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00415-007-2006-5] [PMID: 17503122]

- [15] Bonnet MC, Allard M, Dilharreguy B, et al. Cognitive compensation failure in multiple sclerosis.2010. Neurology. 2010;5;75(14):1241-8
- [16] Randolph JJ, Randolph JS, Wishart HA. Association Between Cognitive Complaints and Vulnerability to Environmental Distraction in Multiple Sclerosis. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2017; 32(1): 21-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acw096] [PMID: 27908883]
- [17] Rao SM. Neuropsychology of multiple sclerosis: a critical review. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1986; 8(5): 503-42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01688638608405173] [PMID: 3805250]
- [18] DeLuca J, Gaudino EA, Diamond BJ, Christodoulou C, Engel RA. Acquisition and storage deficits in multiple sclerosis. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1998; 20(3): 376-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1076/jcen.20.3.376.819] [PMID: 9845164]
- [19] Amato MP, Ponziani G, Siracusa G, Sorbi S. Cognitive dysfunction in early-onset multiple sclerosis: a reappraisal after 10 years. Arch Neurol 2001; 58(10): 1602-6.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archneur.58.10.1602] [PMID: 11594918]
- Benedict RH, Morrow S, Rodgers J, et al. Characterizing cognitive function during relapse in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2014; 20(13): 1745-52.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458514533229] [PMID: 24842959]
- [21] Morrow SA, Jurgensen S, Forrestal F, Munchauer FE, Benedict RH. Effects of acute relapses on neuropsychological status in multiple sclerosis patients. J Neurol 2011; 258(9): 1603-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00415-011-5975-3] [PMID: 21384163]
- [22] Mandolesi G, Grasselli G, Musumeci G, Centonze D. Cognitive deficits in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: neuroinflammation and synaptic degeneration. Neurol Sci 2010; 31(Suppl. 2): S255-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10072-010-0369-3] [PMID: 20635112]
- [23] Foong J, Rozewicz L, Quaghebeur G, Thompson AJ, Miller DH, Ron MA. Neuropsychological deficits in multiple sclerosis after acute relapse. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1998; 64(4): 529-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.64.4.529] [PMID: 9576548]

- [24] Pardini M, Uccelli A, Grafman J, Yaldizli Ö, Mancardi G, Roccatagliata L. Isolated cognitive relapses in multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2014; 85(9): 1035-7.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2013-307275] [PMID: 24686566]
- [25] Berger JR. Classifying PML risk with disease modifying therapies. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2017; 12: 59-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2017.01.006] [PMID: 28283109]
- [26] Krueger KW, Krueger P, Lehmann HC, Schroeter M. Therapy-Associated Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy During Disease-Modifying Treatment of Multiple Sclerosis Neurographics 2016; 6(6): 350-68.
- [27] Dong-Si T, Richman S, Wattjes MP, et al. Outcome and survival of asymptomatic PML in natalizumab-treated MS patients. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2014; 1(10): 755-64. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/acn3.114] [PMID: 25493267]
- [28] Wijburg MT, Witte BI, Vennegoor A, *et al.* MRI criteria differentiating asymptomatic PML from new MS lesions during natalizumab pharmacovigilance. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2016; 87(10): 1138-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2016-313772] [PMID: 27530808]
- [29] Feinstein A. Mood disorders in multiple sclerosis and the effects on cognition. J Neurol Sci 2006; 245(1-2): 63-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2005.08.020] [PMID: 16643952]
- [30] Pucak ML, Carroll KAL, Kerr DA, Kaplin AI. Neuropsychiatric manifestations of depression in multiple sclerosis: neuroinflammatory, neuroendocrine, and neurotrophic mechanisms in the pathogenesis of immune-mediated depression. Dialogues Clin Neurosci 2007; 9(2): 125-39. [PMID: 17726912]
- [31] Feinstein A, Pavisian B. Multiple sclerosis and suicide. Mult Scler 2017; 23(7): 923-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458517702553] [PMID: 28327056]
- [32] Fishman I, Benedict RH, Bakshi R, Priore R, Weinstock-Guttman B. Construct validity and frequency of euphoria sclerotica in multiple sclerosis. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 2004; 16(3): 350-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/jnp.16.3.350] [PMID: 15377743]
- [33] Golan D, Doniger GM, Wissemann K, *et al.* The impact of subjective cognitive fatigue and depression on cognitive function in patients with multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2018; 24(2): 196-204.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458517695470] [PMID: 28273778]
- [34] Amato MP, Zipoli V, Goretti B, et al. Benign multiple sclerosis: cognitive, psychological and social aspects in a clinical cohort. J Neurol 2006; 253(8): 1054-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00415-006-0161-8] [PMID: 16609810]
- [35] Zipoli V, Goretti B, Hakiki B, *et al.* Cognitive impairment predicts conversion to multiple sclerosis in clinically isolated syndromes. Mult Scler 2010; 16(1): 62-7.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458509350311] [PMID: 19995837]
- [36] Pitteri M, Romualdi C, Magliozzi R, Monaco S, Calabrese M. Cognitive impairment predicts disability progression and cortical thinning in MS: An 8-year study. Mult Scler 2017; 23(6): 848-54.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458516665496] [PMID: 27527906]
- [37] Zambon AA, Cecchetti G, Caso F, *et al.* Primary progressive multiple sclerosis presenting with severe predominant cognitive impairment and psychiatric symptoms: A challenging case. Mult Scler 2017; 23(11): 1558-61. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458517702550] [PMID: 28401768]
- [38] Jenkins PO, Perry R, Malik O. Multiple sclerosis presenting as a relapsing amnestic syndrome. Pract Neurol 2014; 14(2): 100-1. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/practneurol-2013-000521] [PMID: 23613595]
- [39] Rao SM. A manual for the brief, repeatable battery of neuropsychological tests in multiple sclerosis. New York: National Multiple Sclerosis Society 1991.
- [40] Benedict RH, Cookfair D, Gavett R, et al. Validity of the minimal assessment of cognitive function in multiple sclerosis (MACFIMS). J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2006; 12(4): 549-58. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1355617706060723] [PMID: 16981607]
- [41] Langdon DW, Amato MP, Boringa J, et al. Recommendations for a Brief International Cognitive Assessment for Multiple Sclerosis (BICAMS). Mult Scler 2012; 18(6): 891-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458511431076] [PMID: 22190573]
- [42] Benedict RH, Amato MP, Boringa J, et al. Brief International Cognitive Assessment for MS (BICAMS): international standards for validation. BMC Neurol. 2012;16; 12:55.
- [43] Langdon D. Cognitive assessment in MS. Neurodegener Dis Manag 2015; 5(6)(Suppl.): 43-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/nmt.15.62] [PMID: 26611272]
- [44] Parmenter BA, Shucard JL, Shucard DW. Information processing deficits in multiple sclerosis: a matter of complexity. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2007; 13(3): 417-23.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1355617707070580] [PMID: 17445290]

- [45] Strober L, Englert J, Munschauer F, Weinstock-Guttman B, Rao S, Benedict RH. Sensitivity of conventional memory tests in multiple sclerosis: comparing the Rao Brief Repeatable Neuropsychological Battery and the Minimal Assessment of Cognitive Function in MS. Mult Scler 2009; 15(9): 1077-84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458509106615] [PMID: 19556311]
- [46] Romero K, Shammi P, Feinstein A. Neurologists' accuracy in predicting cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2015; 4(4): 291-5.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2015.05.009] [PMID: 26195045]
- [47] Smith A. Symbol Digit Modalities Test Manual. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services 1982.
- [48] Benedict RHB, Smerbeck A, Parikh R, Rodgers J, Cadavid D, Erlanger D. Reliability and equivalence of alternate forms for the Symbol Digit Modalities Test: implications for multiple sclerosis clinical trials. Mult Scler 2012; 18(9): 1320-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458511435717] [PMID: 22277740]
- [49] Gronwall DMA. Paced auditory serial-addition task: a measure of recovery from concussion. Percept Mot Skills 1977; 44(2): 367-73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2466/pms.1977.44.2.367] [PMID: 866038]
- [50] Fisk JD, Archibald CJ. Limitations of the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test as a measure of working memory in patients with multiple sclerosis. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2001; 7(3): 363-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1355617701733103] [PMID: 11311037]
- [51] Vanotti S, Eizaguirre MB, Cores EV, et al. Validation of the PASAT in Argentina. Appl Neuropsychol Adult 2016; 23(5): 379-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23279095.2015.1092150] [PMID: 26980661]
- [52] Patel VP, Walker LAS, Feinstein A. Deconstructing the symbol digit modalities test in multiple sclerosis: The role of memory. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2017; 17: 184-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2017.08.006] [PMID: 29055455]
- [53] Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory-II. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation 1996.
- [54] Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation; 2000. Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory Fast Screen for Medical Patients.
- [55] Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983; 67(6): 361-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x] [PMID: 6880820]
- [56] Krupp LB, LaRocca NG, Muir-Nash J, Steinberg AD. The fatigue severity scale. Application to patients with multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arch Neurol 1989; 46(10): 1121-3. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archneur.1989.00520460115022] [PMID: 2803071]
- [57] Learmonth YC, Dlugonski D, Pilutti LA, Sandroff BM, Klaren R, Motl RW. Psychometric properties of the Fatigue Severity Scale and the Modified Fatigue Impact Scale. J Neurol Sci 2013; 331(1-2): 102-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2013.05.023] [PMID: 23791482]
- [58] Hind D, Kaklamanou D, Beever D, et al. The assessment of depression in people with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review of psychometric validation studies. BMC Psychiatry. 2016;4;16:278. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12888-016-0931-5]
- [59] Beier M, Amtmann D, Ehde DM. Beyond depression: Predictors of self-reported cognitive function in adults living with MS. Rehabil Psychol 2015; 60(3): 254-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/rep0000045] [PMID: 26192051]
- [60] Langdon DW, Benedict RH, Wicklein EM, Beckmann K, Fredrikson S. Reports of patients and relatives from the CogniCIS study about cognition in clinically isolated syndrome: what are our patients telling us? Eur Neurol 2013; 69(6): 346-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000345698] [PMID: 23635720]
- [61] Akbar N, Honarmand K, Feinstein A. Self-assessment of cognition in Multiple Sclerosis: the role of personality and anxiety. Cogn Behav Neurol 2011; 24(3): 115-21. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/WNN.0b013e31822a20ae] [PMID: 21904202]
- [62] Benedict RH, Munschauer F, Linn R, *et al.* Screening for multiple sclerosis cognitive impairment using a self-administered 15-item questionnaire. Mult Scler 2003; 9(1): 95-101.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1191/1352458503ms8610a] [PMID: 12617275]
- [63] Achiron A, Doniger GM, Harel Y, Appleboim-Gavish N, Lavie M, Simon ES. Prolonged response times characterize cognitive performance in multiple sclerosis. Eur J Neurol 2007; 14(10): 1102-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2007.01909.x] [PMID: 17880565]
- [64] Ruet A, Deloire MS, Charré-Morin J, Hamel D, Brochet B. A new computerised cognitive test for the detection of information processing speed impairment in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2013; 19(12): 1665-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458513480251] [PMID: 23459569]
- [65] Achiron A, Chapman J, Magalashvili D, *et al.* Modeling of cognitive impairment by disease duration in multiple sclerosis: a cross-sectional study. PLoS One 2013; 8(8): e71058.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071058] [PMID: 23936485]

- [66] Bauer RM, Iverson GL, Cernich AN, Binder LM, Ruff RM, Naugle RI. Computerized neuropsychological assessment devices: joint position paper of the American Academy of Clinical Neuropsychology and the National Academy of Neuropsychology. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2012; 27(3): 362-73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acs027] [PMID: 22382386]
- [67] Sumowski JF, Leavitt VM. Cognitive reserve in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2013; 19(9): 1122-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458513498834] [PMID: 23897894]
- [68] Sumowski JF, Chiaravalloti N, Wylie G, Deluca J. Cognitive reserve moderates the negative effect of brain atrophy on cognitive efficiency in multiple sclerosis. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2009; 15(4): 606-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1355617709090912] [PMID: 19573279]
- [69] Pinter D, Sumowski J, DeLuca J, et al. Higher Education Moderates the Effect of T2 Lesion Load and Third Ventricle Width on Cognition in Multiple Sclerosis. Weber MS, ed. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(1): e87567. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087567]
- [70] Nucci M, Mapelli D, Mondini S. Cognitive Reserve Index questionnaire (CRIq): a new instrument for measuring cognitive reserve. Aging Clin Exp Res 2012; 24(3): 218-26. [PMID: 21691143]
- [71] Uher T, Vaneckova M, Sormani MP, et al. Identification of multiple sclerosis patients at highest risk of cognitive impairment using an integrated brain magnetic resonance imaging assessment approach. Eur J Neurol 2017; 24(2): 292-301. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ene.13200] [PMID: 27873386]
- [72] Mollison D, Sellar R, Bastin M, et al. The clinico-radiological paradox of cognitive function and MRI burden of white matter lesions in people with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis.2017. PLoS One. 2017;15;12(5): e0177727
- [73] Rocca MA, Battaglini M, Benedict RH, et al. Brain MRI atrophy quantification in MS: From methods to clinical application. Neurology. 2017;24;88(4):403-413
- Seewann A, Kooi E-J, Roosendaal SD, et al. Postmortem verification of MS cortical lesion detection with 3D DIR. Neurology 2012; 78(5): 302-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31824528a0] [PMID: 22218278]
- [75] Damjanovic D, Valsasina P, Rocca MA, et al. Hippocampal and Deep Gray Matter Nuclei Atrophy Is Relevant for Explaining Cognitive Impairment in MS: A Multicenter Study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017; 38(1): 18-24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4952] [PMID: 27686487]
- [76] Houtchens MK, Benedict RH, Killiany R, et al. Thalamic atrophy and cognition in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2007; 69(12): 1213-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000276992.17011.b5] [PMID: 17875909]
- [77] Zhang X, Zhang F, Huang D, et al. Contribution of Gray and White Matter Abnormalities to Cognitive Impairment in Multiple Sclerosis. Int J Mol Sci 2016; 18(1): E46.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms18010046] [PMID: 28035997]
- [78] Pinter D, Khalil M, Pichler A, et al. Predictive value of different conventional and non-conventional MRI-parameters for specific domains of cognitive function in multiple sclerosis. Neuroimage Clin 2015; 7: 715-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2015.02.023] [PMID: 25844323]
- Schoonheim MM, Hulst HE, Brandt RB, et al. Thalamus structure and function determine severity of cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2015; 84(8): 776-83.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000001285] [PMID: 25616483]
- [80] Pravatà E, Rocca MA, Valsasina P, et al. Gray matter trophism, cognitive impairment, and depression in patients with multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2017; 23(14): 1864-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458517692886] [PMID: 28169581]
- [81] Hutchinson M. A useful annual review of cognition in relapsing MS is beyond most neurologists Commentary. Mult Scler 2016; 22(6): 730-2.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1252458516642624] [DMID: 27048200]
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458516642624] [PMID: 27048309]
- [82] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Multiple sclerosis in adults: Management (CG186). London: NICE 2014.
- [83] Sumowski JF, Benedict R, Enzinger C, et al. Cognition in multiple sclerosis: State of the field and priorities for the future. Neurology 2018; 90(6): 278-88.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.000000000004977] [PMID: 29343470]
- [84] He D, Zhang Y, Dong S, Wang D, Gao X, Zhou H. Pharmacological treatment for memory disorder in multiple sclerosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 17(12): CD008876. [PMID: 24343792]
- [85] Broicher SD, Filli L, Geisseler O, *et al.* Positive effects of fampridine on cognition, fatigue and depression in patients with multiple sclerosis over 2 years. J Neurol 2018; 265(5): 1016-25. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00415-018-8796-9] [PMID: 29464379]
- [86] Korsen M, Kunz R, Schminke U, Runge U, Kohlmann T, Dressel A. Dalfampridine effects on cognition, fatigue, and dexterity. Brain Behav 2016; 7(1): e00559.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/brb3.559] [PMID: 28127507]

- [87] das Nair R, Martin KJ, Lincoln NB. Memory rehabilitation for people with multiple sclerosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016; 3(3): CD008754.
 [PMID: 27004596]
- [88] Prosperini L, Piattella MC, Gianni C, Pantano P. Functional and Structural Brain Plasticity Enhanced by Motor and Cognitive Rehabilitation in Multiple Sclerosis. Neural Plast 2015; 2015: 481574. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/481574] [PMID: 26064692]
- [89] Chiaravalloti ND, Genova HM, DeLuca J. Cognitive rehabilitation in multiple sclerosis: the role of plasticity. Front Neurol 2015; 6: 67. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2015.00067] [PMID: 25883585]
- [90] Barak S, Hutzler Y, Dubnov-Raz G, Achiron A. Physical exercise for people with multiple sclerosis: effects, recommendations and barriers. Harefuah 2016; 155(6): 364-369, 385.
 [PMID: 27544990]
- [91] James F. Sumowski Cognitive Reserve as a Useful Concept for Early Intervention Research in Multiple Sclerosis Front Neurol 2015; 6: 176.
 [PMID: 26347706]
- [92] Rao SM, Losinski G, Mourany L, et al. Processing speed test: Validation of a self-administered, iPad[®]-based tool for screening cognitive dysfunction in a clinic setting. Mult Scler 2017; 23(14): 1929-37. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458516688955] [PMID: 28080262]
- [93] Sumowski JF, Wylie GR, Chiaravalloti N, DeLuca J. Intellectual enrichment lessens the effect of brain atrophy on learning and memory in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2010; 74(24): 1942-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181e396be] [PMID: 20548040]
- [94] Smerbeck A, Benedict RHB, Eshaghi A, et al. Influence of nationality on the Brief International Cognitive Assessment for Multiple Sclerosis (BICAMS). Clin Neuropsychol 2018; 32(1): 54-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2017.1354071] [PMID: 28721748]
- [95] Wajda DA, Sosnoff JJ. Cognitive-motor interference in multiple sclerosis: a systematic review of evidence, correlates, and consequences. BioMed Res Int 2015; 2015: 720856.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/720856] [PMID: 25839039]
- [96] Downer MB, Kirkland MC, Wallack EM, Ploughman M. Walking impairs cognitive performance among people with multiple sclerosis but not controls. Hum Mov Sci 2016; 49: 124-31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2016.06.010] [PMID: 27371919]
- [97] Leone C, Patti F, Feys P. Measuring the cost of cognitive-motor dual tasking during walking in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2015; 21(2): 123-31.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1352458514547408] [PMID: 25178543]

[98] Beste C, Mückschel M, Paucke M, Ziemssen T. Dual-Tasking in Multiple Sclerosis - Implications for a Cognitive Screening Instrument. Front Hum Neurosci 2018; 12: 24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00024] [PMID: 29445335]

© 2018 Bakirtzis et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.